

Growth, and Survival: Limited Dependent Variables, Heteroscedasticity and Sample Selection

J Paul Dunne

March 1, 2005

1 The Law of Proportionate Effects

A useful approach for analysing the growth of companies is an empirical analysis within the framework of testing Gibrat's law (Sutton, 1997 provides a review). This approach was first used in the 1970s to analyse the reasons for an observed inexorable rise in concentration of manufacturing industry. There was a concern that this would continue and lead to increasing monopoly power Hannah and Kay (1977). In fact as this problem was identified things were changing and there was a steady rise in the share of smaller firms in total output taking place. Gibrat's law stated that the probability distribution of growth rates was the same for all sizes of firms.

$$\frac{S_{it}}{S_{it-1}} = \varepsilon_{it}$$

If this held it suggested that the growth rate of companies would be random across size classes, a feature that would lead to the inexorable rise of concentration within industry and the economy. This can be written in estimable form as:

$$\log S_{it} = \alpha + \beta \log S_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

This implies that firms of different sizes have the same proportional growth rates, have the same variances (homoscedastic) and have no serial correlation. Estimating this equation allows a test of Gibrats law by testing if $\beta = 1$ as this implies:

$$\log S_{it} - \log S_{it-1} = \alpha + \varepsilon_{it}$$

if $\beta < 1$ smaller firms are growing faster than the larger firms and if $\beta > 1$ the larger firms are growing faster than the smaller firms. This can also be reparametised as a growth rate equation

$$\Delta \log S_{it} = \alpha + (\beta - 1) \log S_{it-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

in this case the test is for the coefficient on $\log S_{it-1}$ to be zero.

This model has been used frequently in the industrial economics literature. Sutton (1997) Caves (1998) provide reviews.

There are a number of econometric issues that arise:

Growth persistence which can lead to serial correlation

Heteroscedasticity: if the variance of the growth decreases with size, as seems to be the case this can be a problem, leading to unbiased but inconsistent estimates. Will be using heteroscedastic robust standard error estimates to deal with this.

Outliers: not clear what an outlier is and certainly would be loathe to drop any companies from the sample, but have to be aware that the results may be sensitive to extreme values.

Sample selection

A particularly important concern is the issue of sample selection. The way the model is formulated it is only possible to include companies that survive over the whole period. However, if the non surviving companies share certain characteristics, such as they are slow growing then this can obviously bias the estimation results. More formally, what we have is:

$$\begin{aligned} \log S_{it} &= \alpha + \beta \log S_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it} \text{ if } S_{it} > 0 \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$E(\log S_{it} \mid \log S_{it-1}, S_{it} > 0) = \alpha + \beta \log S_{it-1} + E(\varepsilon_{it} \mid S_{it} > 0)$$

with

$$\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

This can be written as

$$E(\log S_{it} \mid \log S_{it-1}, S_{it} > 0) = \alpha + \beta \log S_{it-1} + \sigma \lambda_i$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{f(V_i)}{1 - F(V_i)} \text{ and } V_i = \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta \log S_{it-1}}{\sigma} \right]$$

with $f(\cdot)$ the density function for the standard normal and $F(\cdot)$ the cumulative density. If we were to estimate a simple OLS regression then we would omit $\sigma \lambda_i$ giving biased and inconsistent estimators.

Another way of interpreting these regressions is to consider the model in log deviations form. Allow

$$y_{it} = \log(S_{it} - \bar{S})$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} y_{it} &= \beta y_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it} \\ \text{or } y_{it} - y_{it-1} &= (\beta - 1)y_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\frac{\sum y_{it}^2}{N} = \beta^2 \frac{\sum y_{it-1}^2}{N} + \frac{\sum \varepsilon_{it}^2}{N}$$

meaning

$$\sigma_t^2 = \beta^2 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

which means

$$1 = \beta^2 \frac{\sigma_{t-1}^2}{\sigma_t^2} + \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_t^2}$$

or

$$\beta^2 \frac{\sigma_{t-1}^2}{\sigma_t^2} = 1 - \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_t^2}$$

now the right hand side of this equation is the formula for the R^2 so

$$\beta^2 \frac{\sigma_{t-1}^2}{\sigma_t^2} = R^2$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma_{t-1}^2}{\sigma_t^2} = \frac{R^2}{\beta^2}$$

This means that the larger the ratio of the R^2 and β^2 the larger is the ratio of the previous period variance to the present period. This is clearly a measure of convergence.

Moving back to the sample selection model, this has become familiar in applied microeconometrics and can be estimated using the Heckman two stage procedure or a maximum likelihood method, both available in the LIMDEP package.

For the two stage procedure let

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= 1 \text{ when } S_{it} > 0 \\ d_i &= 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can set up a likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \prod_{i=1}^N [\Pr(\varepsilon_i \leq -V_i)]^{1-d_i} [\Pr(\varepsilon_i \leq -V_i)]^{d_i} \\ &= \prod F \left[\frac{V_i}{\sigma} \right]^{d_i} \left\{ 1 - F \left[\frac{V_i}{\sigma} \right] \right\}^{1-d_i} \end{aligned}$$

As $F(-t) = 1 - F(t)$ this is the likelihood function for the probit estimation on d_i and $E(d_i) = V_i/\sigma$. So we estimate a probit:

$$\Pr(d_i = 1) = P(V_i)$$

compute V_i and

$$\lambda_i = \left[\frac{f(V_i)}{1 - F(V_i)} \right]$$

For the second stage we use the consistent estimator of λ_i , $\hat{\lambda}_i$ to estimate

$$E(S_{it} | S_{it-1}, S_{it} > 0) = \alpha + \beta S_{it-1} + \sigma \hat{\lambda}_i$$

giving $\hat{\beta}$ a consistent estimator.

It is also possible to use a maximum likelihood method , that uses this consistent estimator as a starting value (Judge et al, Limdep) to search for a solution on the highly non-linear likelihood function;

$$L = \prod_{d_i=0} F(-V_i, \sigma^2) \prod_{d_i=1} (S_{it} - V_i, \sigma^2)$$

now as $1 - F(-V_i, \sigma^2) = 1 - F(V_i, \sigma^2)$ which we call $1 - F_i$

$$L = \sum_{d_i=0} \ln(1 - F_i) - \frac{N - S}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{d_i=1} (S_{it} - V_i, \sigma^2)$$

which can be solved using an iterative process such as Newton Raphson.